Why isn't Functional Programming the Norm?

@rtfeldman

Why are things the way they are?

It's complicated!

Part 1: Language

Part 2: Paradigm

Part 3: Style



Part 1: Language

What **languages** are the norm today?

Global developer population report 2019





/)

How did they get popular?

- 1. Killer App
- 2. Platform Exclusivity
- 3. Quick Upgrade

1. Killer App



Software: **\$100**

Hardware: **\$10000**





"VisiCalc is the first program available on a microcomputer that has been responsible for sales of entire systems." -BYTE magazine, 1980

the killer app for







the killer app for



making HTML dynamic



the killer app for



systems programming

Computer Science - Brian Kernighan on successful language design

- easy to get started with le to new environm

ASM mentally composition and are to buy into an

n use standard tod

nvironment to use o existing libraries

mpetition Reserved to According to According

Potential FP Killer Apps



elm-ui



O Clojure

Datomic



ReasonML revery

2. Platform Exclusivity











Total number of people using the Internet



Licensed under CC-BY-SA by the author Max Roser.







"Write once, run anywhere."



3. Quick Upgrade



Considerations "It's just JavaScript"

Benefits

Familiarity

Learning Curve

Ecosystem Access

Code Migration Effort



Considerations "It's just JavaScript"

Benefits

Familiarity

Learning Curve

Ecosystem Access

Code Migration Effort

TypeScript strict superset of JS

Considerations

Benefits

Familiarity

Learning Curve

Ecosystem Access

Code Migration Effort





Considerations

Benefits

Familiarity

Learning Curve

Ecosystem Access

Code Migration Effort

Kotlin

"Kotlin is **100% interoperable** with [Java] and major emphasis has been placed on making sure that your **existing codebase** can interact properly with Kotlin."

How did they get popular?

- 1. Killer App
- 2. Platform Exclusivity
- 3. Quick Upgrade

C, Ruby, PHP

JS, Objective-C, Swift, C#

C++, Kotlin, TypeScript

How did they get popular?

- 1. Killer App
- 2. Platform Exclusivity
- 3. Quick Upgrade
- 4. Epic Marketing

- C, Ruby, PHP
- JS, Objective-C, Swift, C#
- C++, Kotlin, TypeScript

Java

THE WALL STREET JOURNAL.

U.S. Edition 🔻 September 26, 2019 Print Edition Video

Home World U.S. Politics Economy Business Tech Markets Opinion Life & Arts Real Estate WSJ. Magazine

Sun Microsystems Boots Up Blitz To Create 'Java Powered' Loyalty



\$500M Java marketing campaign in 2003




How did they get popular?

- 1. Killer App
- 2. Platform Exclusivity
- 3. Quick Upgrade
- 4. Epic Marketing
- 5. Slow & Steady

- C, Ruby, PHP
- JS, Objective-C, Swift, C#
- C++, Kotlin, TypeScript

Java

Python





Other Popularity Factors

Syntax

Job Market

Community



Why are the most popular languages **OO** (except C)?

(*) JavaScript includes CoffeeScript, TypeScript Global developer population report 2019. https://sdata.me/GlobalDevPop19 · ©SlashData

/JATA

Part 2: Paradigm



Programming paradigms are a way to <u>classify programming languages based on their features</u>. Languages can be classified into multiple paradigms.

Are OO languages the norm because of **uniquely OO features**?

What are **uniquely OO features**?

- 1. Encapsulation?
- 2. Inheritance?
- 3. Objects?
- 4. Methods?

Inheritance

Interface Inheritance (aka **subtyping**)

Implementation Inheritance (aka inheritance)

"Composition over inheritance"





```
"Supports an object-oriented style" encapsulation?
```

No inheritance

"Objects and methods" are

circle.grow(3)
grow(circle, 3)

syntax sugar for structs and procedures

Modular Programming

Modularity lets you define a **public interface** to hide **private implementation details**





Languages with modules

*coming in C++20

(*) JavaScript includes CoffeeScript, TypeScript Global developer population report 2019. https://sdata.me/GlobalDevPop19 · ©SlashData



Modular Programming

Modularity lets you define a **public interface** to hide **private implementation details**



Encapsulation

Encapsulation lets you define a **public interface** to hide **private implementation details** about an object's state





 Objects
 Classes

 Inheritance
 Garbage Collection

 Inheritance
 Garbage Collection

 Inheritance
 John McCarthy, Creator of Lisp





Objects Classes Inheritance <u>bit.ly/2kqxVWO</u> Garbage Collection

"Object-Oriented" -Alan Kay

OOP to me means only **messaging**, **local retention** and **protection** and **hiding of state-process**, and **extreme late-binding of all things**. It can be done in Smalltalk and in LISP. There are possibly other systems in which this is possible, but I'm not aware of them.



everything is an object







youtu.be/1xrL2d5omuA Brad Cox G



Objects JA Classes Inheritance Garbage Collection



"I wasn't happy with **C as a productivity foundation**, and I was lobbying around for **anything that could help**. That was about the time the **Byte Magazine** issue came out." "There were a whole bunch of things I thought vaguely might help. **Encapsulation** for sure...C is so bad at it. **Everything is public**; it just turns into soup. **The pain of that is what I was trying to escape.**"





[ObjC]



the small systems journal A MCGRAW-HILL PUBLICATION DEFORE((MELANIS 1))) DEFICE(((NDT(LATD76)(STERG) DEPORT (CALIDA (X. N) ••• (PROG (XPOS FRT BAK) (SETQ XPOS(CDRX)) (SETO FRT(CDRRX)) (SETQ BAK(CAAR X)) ONS 15 COM LISP

AUGUST 1979 Volume 4, Number 8 \$2.00 in USA/\$2.40 in Canada



Objects Classes Inheritance Garbage Collection



Program organization
Mapping of concepts
A class is a type
Static checking

C with Classes: Why Classes?

Bjarne Stroustrup



Drop-in C Replacement (!)



C with Classes was a "medium success"

Allowed medium improvements (only)

User community couldn't support infrastructure

Bjarne Stroustrup







C with **OOP** ("C with Classes") **wasn't sufficient** for popularity



Brad Cox wanted **modularity**



Sun wanted **familiarity** for C++ programmers



Apple wanted to **improve on ObjC**



Microsoft wanted a **proprietary Java** alternative





"I wanted a scripting language that was more powerful than Perl, and more object-oriented than Python." —Yukihiro "Matz" Matsumoto, creator of **Ruby**





Are OO languages the norm because of **uniquely OO features**?

No.

They're OO because **modularity is a good idea**, and they originally got it from OO **by chance**.

Part 3: Style

Functional Programming Style

"Avoid mutation and side effects."

No language features **required** Languages differ in their **support** for this style

Why isn't FP **style** the norm?





Is Kotlin an object-oriented language or a functional one?

Kotlin has **both** object-oriented and functional constructs.

You can use it in both OO and FP styles, or mix elements of the two.



Some additional features of Swift include:

Functional programming patterns, e.g., **map** and **filter**



Euctional Programming in Here to improve your JoudScript programs using functional techniques

Ved Antani, Simon Timms,







Functional Programming in JavaScript

Unlock the powers of functional programming hidden within JavaScript to build smarter, cleaner, and more reliable web apps

PACKT open source*

n Mantyla



JavaScript: Functional Programming for JavaScript Developers

Learning Path

Leverage the power of functional programming with modern JavaScript techniques to build faster and reliable web applications

Packt>

G.

Ë



Functional-Light JavaScript

Balanced, Pragmatic FP in JavaScript

Mastering JavaScript Functional Programming

In-depth guide for writing robust and maintainable JavaScript code in ES8 and beyond





Functional Programming in Java

Harnessing the Power of Java 8 Lambda Expressions



Venkat Subramaniam Edited by Jacquelyn Carter



Dean Wampler



Learning Java 9 - Functional Programming

Create robust and maintainable Java applications using the functional programming style



Colibri Ltd

Packt>





livelessons

Functional Programming For Java

SNEAK

PEEK

videc

In28Minutes Java 9 **Functional** Programming

O'REILLY

Functional Programming in Python



David Mertz

Functional Programming in Python

O'REILLY

David Mertz

REPORT

Functional **Python**

Programming

Create succinct and expressive implementations with functional programming in Python

Packt>



Functional Python Programming





Learn everything there is to know about Functional Programming in Python



⊳

Packt>

Functional Python Programming







Wisnu Anggoro Learning C++ Functional Programming Explore functional C++ with concepts like currying. metaprogramming and more

Packt>

 \square

Functional Programming with C++



Hands-On Functional Programming with C++

An effective guide to writing accelerated functional code using C++17 and C++20





OO style ── hybrid ─► FP style




"Wouldn't it be nice if my **language** had strong support for the **style** that's become the norm?"

Why isn't Functional Programming the Norm?

@rtfeldman

Part 1: Language

Part 2: Paradigm

Part 3: Style



Why aren't FP **languages** the norm?

- 1. No sufficiently large "killer apps"
- 2. No exclusivity on large platforms
- 3. Can't be a quick upgrade if substantially different
- 4. No epic marketing budgets
- 5. Slow & steady growth takes decades

Are OO languages the norm because of **uniquely OO features**?

- Information hiding (encapsulation) is not a uniquely OO feature. Modules can do it too.
- 2. **Inheritance** is uniquely OO, but OO best practice encourages using **composition** instead.
- 3. Without inheritance, **objects and methods** are not significantly different from **structs and procedures**.

Why isn't FP **style** the norm?





